

# Midterm Exam No. 02 (Fall 2020)

## PHYS 500A: MATHEMATICAL METHODS

*Department of Physics, Southern Illinois University–Carbondale*

Date: 2020 Oct 9

1. (20 points.) Locate  $z = \pi^i$  on the complex plane.

2. (20 points.) Find all the roots the equation

$$z^3 = -1. \quad (1)$$

Mark the points corresponding to the roots on the complex plane.

3. (20 points.) Check if the function

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{z} \quad (2)$$

satisfies the Cauchy-Riemann conditions.

(a) Verify that the Cauchy-Riemann conditions for this case are not well defined at  $z = 0$ , but are fine for  $z \neq 0$ .

(b) Verify that

$$\frac{df}{dz} = -\frac{1}{z^2}, \quad z \neq 0. \quad (3)$$

(c) Determine the limiting value of the derivative as you approach  $z = 0$  along the positive real line, and, then, when you approach along the negative real line. Repeat the analysis along the imaginary line. Repeat the analysis along the line  $x = y$ . Are these limits identical?

(d) If these limits are not identical conclude that the derivative is not isotropic at  $z = 0$ . Then, the function is not analytic at  $z = 0$ .

4. (20 points.) Evaluate  $\nabla \times \mathbf{A}$ , given

$$\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r}) = \hat{\phi} \frac{(1 - \cos \theta)}{r \sin \theta}. \quad (4)$$

5. (20 points.) Show that the complex function

$$f = zz^* \quad (5)$$

is not an analytic function. Express the function  $f$  in the form  $f = u + iv$  and find that  $u = (x^2 + y^2)$  and  $v = 0$ . Show that the Cauchy-Riemann conditions are not satisfied in this case. Could we modify the function  $v$ , keeping  $u$  the same, such that the new function  $f$  is analytic? That is, investigate if there exists a function  $v$  that satisfies the Cauchy-Riemann conditions with  $u = (x^2 + y^2)$ ? If yes, find a  $v$ , and interpret? If no, what is the interpretation?